

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,083	12/06/2001	John O. McWeeney	BSC-211	8839
21323 75	90 10/14/2003		EXAM	INER
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP			WILLSE, DAVID H	
HIGH STREET	- <del>-</del> ··		L ADTIVITATION TO	D. DDD 14114DDD
125 HIGH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02110			3738	7
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003	, /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u> 8					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Ossian Antina Comment	10/006,083	MCWEENEY, JOHN O.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dave Willse	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed nys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	<u>August 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applicatio					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applica	tion No			
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3738

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-14 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Andersen et al., US 5,876,445, which contemplates ureteral stents at column 8, lines 46-47, and which inherently involves collapse under radial compression from the intramural tunnel portion in view of column 4, lines 22-30; column 6, lines 35-38; column 9, lines 8-9; and the fact that pressure in the bladder compresses the ureter so as to prevent backflow of urine when pressure builds up in the bladder during micturition. Moreover, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform; it does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense (*In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138); MPEP 2106, section II. C., explains that language which suggests or makes optional but "does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation"; "examples of language that may raise a question as to the limiting effect of the language in a claim" are "adapted to" and "adapted for" clauses. Regarding claims 10-12 and others: column 8, lines 38-41; column 11, lines 52-54; column 14, lines 22-24.

Regarding claim 13 and others: column 9, lines 40-45. Regarding claim 22: column 12, lines 41-

Application/Control Number: 10/006,083

Art Unit: 3738

45; moreover, "wound coil *portion*" (claim 22, line 3; emphasis added) can be interpreted broadly.

Claims 1-8, 15-21, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen et al., US 5,876,445. The ureteral stent having the well known retention and elongated portions so as to extend into the kidney would have been immediately obvious, if not inherent, in order to support the entire ureter and to better anchor the stent, with further motivation having been provided by the fact that as urine collects in the pelvis of a kidney, a peristaltic contraction spreads downwardly along the ureter to force urine toward the bladder, and the Andersen et al. device possesses certain advantages with respect to peristalsis (column 1, lines 60-63; etc.)

The Applicant's remarks have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection, which were necessitated by the added language as to the radial compression being "from the intramural tunnel portion" (e.g., claim 1, last two lines). Therefore:

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/006,083 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and often on Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Dave Willse Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738